



**IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re U.S. Patent Application of:

APPLICANTS: Peter Dam Nielsen  
SERIAL NO.: 10/663,477 FILING DATE: September 15, 2003  
EXAMINER: Radtke, Mark A. ART UNIT: 2165  
ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO.: 857.0017.U1(US)  
TITLE: MODIFYING A DATABASE COMPRISING IMAGE FIELDS

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313

**Interview Summary**

This paper is herewith filed in response to the Interview Summary mailed on March 03, 2008, for the above-captioned U.S. Patent Application. This paper is deemed to be filed within the shortened statutory period and no petition or fee for an extension of time is required. However, should the undersigned attorney be mistaken, please consider this a petition for any extension of time that may be required to maintain the pendency of this Patent Application, and charge deposit account no.: 50-1924 for any required fee deficiency.

**Interview Summary**

Telephone Interview Summary

Date: February 20, 2008

Participants:

SPE Christian Chace

Examiner Mark Radtke

Applicant's Representative Walter Malinowski

Claims 1, 18 and 19 were discussed.

Most of the focus of the interview was on claim 1. Examiner Radtke indicated that claim 1 from the proposed amendment may overcome the prior art of Apfel and McIntyre were the meaning of the proposed addition of the word "immediately" explained with sufficient clarity. He would like to see the record reflect the meaning of the word "immediately." Even though Applicant's representative pointed out that the proposed claim amendments find support in claim 16, Examiner Radtke indicated that such amendment would require further search and/or consideration and so suggested filing an RCE. Also, Examiner Radtke stated that use of language such as "is arranged to enable" does not reflect a positive recitation and suggested that language such as "which enables" more likely does. In claim 1, Examiner Radtke would like to see more explanation as to what is being done and considers "image field" and "database application" to need more meaning. He would like to see recitation of something like "a contacts list."

Examiner Radtke considered claim 19 to be confusing because the method steps were of providing a messaging application and providing a database application.

Examiner Radtke briefly talked about claim 18. He considers the term "messaging application" to be met by any application.

Serial No.: 10/663,477  
Our Ref: 857.0017.U1(US)

Interview Summary

Respectfully submitted:

Walter J. Malinowski

Walter J. Malinowski

Reg. No.: 43,423

March 18, 2008

Date

Customer No.: 29683

HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC

4 Research Drive

Shelton, CT 06484-6212

Telephone: (203) 925-9400, extension 19

Facsimile: (203) 944-0245

email: wmalinowski@hspatent.com

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

March 19, 2008

Date

Debra Longfellow

Name of Person Making Deposit